

WESTBROOK SOLAR

SunE Westbrook Solar Farm

Consultation Report



M a y 1 7 , 2 0 1 2

Table of Contents

1. Public Notices	1
1.1 Notice of Project Commencement and Public Meeting #1	1
1.2 Notice of Public Meeting #2	1
1.3 Distribution of Documents for Review.....	2
2. Agency Consultation	2
2.1 MNR Review	2
2.2 MTCS Review	2
2.3 Cataraqui Region Conservation Authority (CRCA).....	3
3. Aboriginal Consultation	3
3.1 Director's List	3
3.2 Distribution of Notices and Reports	3
3.3 Consultation Summary.....	5
4. Municipal Consultation	13
4.1 Consultation Form.....	14
4.2 Consultation Summary.....	15
5. Public Consultation	16
5.1 Summary of Comments	16
5.1.1 Public Meeting #1, September 19, 2011	16
5.1.2 Public Meeting #2, May 2, 2012	17
6. Consideration of Public, Municipal and Aboriginal Input	18
6.1 Alterations to the Proposal to Engage in the Project	18
6.2 Alterations to the Required REA Reports	18

1. Public Notices

1.1 Notice of Project Commencement and Public Meeting #1

The SunE Westbrook Solar Farm Renewable Energy Approval process began in 2011 with A Notice of Project and Public Meeting #1 published in the Kingston Whig Standard and Kingston This Week on August 17, 2011 and on September 15, 2011, respectively, as detailed in Table 1, below. The Initial Public Meeting was held on September 19, 2011 at the INVISTA Centre (Meeting Rooms A & B), 1350 Gardiners Road, Kingston, ON.

Notices were made available on the proponent's website (www.sunedison.ca/Westbrook) and were also hand distributed to all residences within 120 m of the project location as well as additional residences along Highway 401. Copies of the notice were sent to the City Clerk of the City of Kingston (local single-tier municipality), the MOE District Manager (Kingston District) and the MOE Director of Approvals. The notice contained information on the project location, the proposed size of the project, the proponent, the process and a key map of the original Project Area. A copy of the Draft Project Description Report (Revision 1, incorporating MOE's initial comments) was made available at this meeting and a copy was posted on the proponent's website (www.sunedison.ca/Westbrook).

A copy of the Public Meeting #1 notice, list of attendees and the display boards presented at the open house are included in Appendix F. Further details of the public meeting are included in Section 5.

1.2 Notice of Public Meeting #2

The notice of Public Meeting #2 was published in the local newspapers, as detailed in Table 1, below, and distributed to all landowners within 120 m of the project location, as well as any others who had requested that they be added to the mailing list. Additional mailings were completed to non-resident landowners and the mailing list has been included in Appendix F. A copy of the notice was sent to the City Clerk and Planning Department of the City of Kingston (local single-tier municipality), the MOE District Manager (Kingston District) and the MOE Director of Approvals. Notices were also made available on the proponent's website (www.sunedison.ca/Westbrook).

In order to conform to the requirements of O.Reg. 359/09, the notice contained information on the project location, the proposed size of the project, the proponents, the process and a key map of the Project Location. Also included in this notice was the location, time and date of Public Meeting #2 (final) and where the draft REA project reports were available for public review (both hard copy and electronic versions), dates of the review period and to whom and where comments could be forwarded. A copy of the notice, public mailing list, list of attendees and the display boards presented at the open house are included in Appendix F.

Table 1: Publication of Public Notices

Notice	Date Published	Location of Notice	Days Prior to Public Meeting
Public Meeting #1 (Held September 19, 2011 at the INVISTA Centre)	August 17, 2011	The Kingston Whig Standard	33
	September 15, 2011	Kingston This Week	4
Public Meeting #2 (Held May 3, 2012 at the INVISTA Centre)	March 3, 2012	The Kingston Whig Standard	61
	April 21, 2012	The Kingston Whig Standard	12
	March 1, 2012	Kingston This Week	63
	April 19, 2012		15

1.3 Distribution of Documents for Review

Prior to the final public meeting (held May 3, 2012) the Draft Renewable Energy Approval documents for consultation were made available for public review for at least 60 days, as required by O.Reg. 359/09. These reports were made available on the proponent's website (www.sunedison.ca/Westbrook) on March 1, 2012, and were also made available for review at the City of Kingston municipal office on that same date, 63 days prior to Public Meeting #2 (final).

All project components are proposed to be located within the City of Kingston.

2. Agency Consultation

2.1 MNR Review

On August 12, 2011 information was received from the MNR on the request for Records Review for the Westbrook Solar Power Project. GENIVAR completed the Records Review on September 15, 2011 and the following document was submitted to the MNR for review along with the Natural Heritage Assessment Site Investigation Report:

- *Westbrook Proposed Solar Power Project – Records Review Part of Lots 44 and 5, Concession 5, Western Division of City of Kingston* (September 2011)

On November 30, 2011 the following document was also submitted to the MNR for review to ensure compliance with the Natural Heritage requirements of O.Reg. 359/09:

- *Natural Heritage Assessment Site Investigation Report, SunE Westbrook Solar Energy Project* (November 2011)

On December 2, 2011 the following revised report was submitted by Ecological Services:

- *Natural Heritage Assessment Evaluation of Significance Report, SunE Westbrook Solar Energy Project* (December 2010)

On January 6, 2012, Ecological Services supplied the following document to the MNR:

- *Natural Heritage Assessment Environmental Impact Study Report, SunE Westbrook Solar Farm* (January 2012)

Final confirmation from the MNR was received on January 17, 2012. Copies of the correspondence and final report can be found in Appendix C. Copies of the reports and the letter were posted on the proponent's website and were made available for review at the City of Kingston municipal office and at Public Meeting #2.

The MNR was also contacted for the Water Body Records Review. On August 12, 2011, the MNR provided a records review request response to GENIVAR. A *Water Body Records Review, Part of Lots 4&5, Concession 5 WD, City of Kingston, Frontenac County, Ontario* (March 2012) report was completed by GENIVAR and is included in Appendix C.

2.2 MTCS Review

The "Stage 1 and 2 Archaeological Assessment of SunE Westbrook Solar Farm, Lots 4&5, Concession 5, Town of Westbrook, Township of Kingston, Kingston and Frontenac County" (November 2011) report was submitted to the Ministry of Tourism and Culture (MTC) for review on November 10, 2011 by the Archaeologists Inc. The MTC issued a letter of acceptance on December 12, 2011 agreeing with the findings and recommendations of the report. A copy of the acceptance letter is included in Appendix D.

The *Cultural Heritage Resource Assessment: Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes, SunE Westbrook Solar Farm, City of Kingston, Ontario* (January 2012) report was submitted

to the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) for review on February 28, 2012 by Archaeological Services Inc. The MTCS issued a letter of acceptance on March 21, 2012 agreeing with the findings and recommendations of the report. A copy of the acceptance letter is included in Appendix D.

Copies of the archaeological assessment and built cultural heritage resource assessment reports and the letters of acceptance were posted on the proponent's website and were made available for review at the City of Kingston municipal office and at Public Meeting #2.

2.3 Cataraqui Region Conservation Authority (CRCA)

CRCA staff were consulted throughout the REA process to keep them apprised of the project activities and seek input on the proposed project. CRCA staff provided a response to the records review request on May 17, 2011 (included in Appendix C). CRCA expressed no concerns with the project.

3. Aboriginal Consultation

Aboriginal consultation has been undertaken by GENIVAR on behalf of SunEdison in order to meet the requirements to consult. SunEdison hired Patricia Stirbys as the Aboriginal consultant to focus on the Aboriginal consultation activities and discussions. These activities and discussions are detailed below.

3.1 Director's List

In July 2010, a copy of the Draft Project Description Report was submitted to the Director of Approvals in order to receive the List of Aboriginal Communities to Consult. Initially this project was referred to as the Kingston Gardiner Highway 2 North project and the documentation was submitted under that name. In 2011, the project location shifted (staying within close proximity to the previous site) and the name changed to Westbrook. A response was received from the MOE on October 15, 2010 (related to Highway 2 N) and identified the following First Nations groups to consult:

1. Alderville First Nation - may have constitutionally protected treaty or aboriginal rights which may be impacted by the project;
2. Hiawatha First Nation - may have constitutionally protected treaty or aboriginal rights which may be impacted by the project;
3. Curve Lake First Nation - may have constitutionally protected treaty or aboriginal rights which may be impacted by the project;
4. Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation - may have constitutionally protected treaty or aboriginal rights which may be impacted by the project;
5. Kawartha Nishnawbe - may have constitutionally protected treaty or aboriginal rights which may be impacted by the project;
6. Northumberland Metis Council - may be interested in any negative effects of the project;
7. Seven Rivers Metis Council - may be interested in any negative effects of the project;
8. Metis Nation of Ontario - may be interested in any negative effects of the project; and
9. Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte – may be interested in any negative effects of the project.

3.2 Distribution of Notices and Reports

Based on the Director's List, SunEdison undertook consultations. The table below identifies the notices and documents sent to each First Nation's group.

In addition, the Notices of Public Meeting #1 and Public Meeting #2 were placed in the Turtle Island News (Aboriginal newspaper) on the following dates:

- September 2, 2011 – Public Meeting #1
- April 16 and 18, 2011 – Public Meeting #2

Table 2: Distribution of Public Meeting #1 Notices and Reports

Group	Public Meeting #1 Notice	# Days Prior to Public Meeting #1	Project Description Report	# Days Prior to Public Meeting #1
Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte	August 29, 2011	22	August 29, 2011	22
Alderville First Nation	August 29, 2011	22	August 29, 2011	22
Mississaugas of Scugog	August 29, 2011	22	August 29, 2011	22
Curve lake First Nation	August 29, 2011	22	August 29, 2011	22
Hiawatha First Nation	August 29, 2011	22	August 29, 2011	22
Chippewas of Georgina Island	August 29, 2011	22	August 29, 2011	22
Metis Nation of Ontario	August 29, 2011	22	August 29, 2011	22

Table 3: Distribution of Public Meeting #2 Notices and Reports

Group	Public Meeting #2 Notice	# Days Prior to Public Meeting #2	Draft REA Documents	# Days Prior to Public Meeting #2
Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte	February 28, 2012	65	February 28, 2012	65
Alderville First Nation	February 28, 2012	65	February 28, 2012	65
Mississaugas of Scugog	February 28, 2012	65	February 28, 2012	65
Curve Lake First Nation	February 28, 2012	65	February 28, 2012	65
Hiawatha First Nation	February 28, 2012	65	February 28, 2012	65
Chippewas of Georgina Island	February 28, 2012	65	February 28, 2012	65
Metis Nation of Ontario	February 28, 2012	65	February 28, 2012	65

3.3 Consultation Summary

The aboriginal consultant's activities included numerous phone calls with the various groups from August 2011 to May 2012 and will continue to be ongoing. The purpose of the contact has been to determine "whether they had any questions or concerns with the location of the project or any other matter". The focus has also been on any treaty issues the groups may have and/or anticipate with the project with the consultant specifically asking whether they have any concerns relating to any impacts on their aboriginal or treaty rights. The following tables are the Consultation Tracker and they summarize the dates and discussions held along with any letters or comments received back from any of the Aboriginal groups.

Table 4: Summary of additional consultation activities undertaken by Patricia Stirbys with Aboriginal communities

Group & Consultation Date	Discussion Summary
Metis Nation of Ontario (Toronto) (Aug 24, 2011)	Telephoned Melanie Paradis, Director, about the SunE Westbrook project and which Metis Council to best consult with. I also emailed her a copy of the map showing location of project and asking whether any Metis Councils were in the project area. Melanie stated that the project site is located outside of the Metis rights-bearing area, thereby, there is no duty to consult. However, we agreed that I would forward the information and she would share it with the Seven Rivers Council and Ottawa Council for their interest and review.
Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte (Aug 25, 2011)	Telephoned "Lori" in reception regarding which Aboriginal newspapers they received; other newsletters, website, bulletin board for posting Notices for SunE projects. Also asked for names and contact details for Economic Dvmt or Consultation Officer. Confirmed Chief's name: R. Donald Maracle....-*Emailed Notice and information regarding SunE Westbrook solar project to Kelly Maracle, Econ Dvmt officer for Mohawks of Bay of Quinte as well as Environmental officer, Kristin Maracle; Sandra Green (newsletter); Chris Maracle (IT manager); Reception "Lori" (bulletin board); Bev H (Consultation)
Alderville First Nation (Aug 25, 2011)	Telephoned "Sarah" in reception regarding which Aboriginal newspapers they received; other newsletters, website, bulletin board for posting Notices for SunE projects. Also asked for names and contact details for Economic Dvmt or Consultation Officer. Confirmed Chief's name. Spoke with Reese Simpson, Economic Development, about date of Westbrook PIC. Reese informed me that Alderville comes from that area of Bay of Quinte. He also gave me name of David Simpson, Consultation person.

Group & Consultation Date	Discussion Summary
Curve Lake First Nation (Aug 25, 2011)	Telephoned "Gayle" at reception regarding which Aboriginal newspapers they received; other newsletters, website, bulletin board for posting Notices for SunE projects. Currently no Economic Dvmt or Consultation Officer. Confirmed Chief's name: Chief Keith Knott
Hiawatha First Nation (Aug 25, 2011)	Telephoned reception regarding which Aboriginal newspapers they received; other newsletters, website, bulletin board for posting Notices for SunE projects. Also asked for names and contact details for Consultation Officers: Lori Ritter/Diane Sheriden. Confirmed Chief's name
Misissaugas of Scugog First Nation (Aug 25, 2011)	Telephoned "Allison" in reception regarding which Aboriginal newspapers they received; other newsletters, website, bulletin board for posting Notices for SunE projects. Also asked for names and contact details for Consultation person: Murray Maracle. Murray stated the Mississaugas trad. territory from as far east as Gananoque. Environment is key concern. Confirmed Chief's name: Tracy Gauthier
Chippewas of Georgina Island (Aug 25, 2011)	Telephoned "Cheryl" in reception regarding which Aboriginal newspapers they received; other newsletters, website, bulletin board for posting Notices for SunE projects. Also asked for names and contact details for Economic Dvmt or Consultation Officer. Was given Band Mgr Janice Taylor email, and name/email of Suzanne Howes as two people who can update Chief & Council. Confirmed Chief's name: Donna Big Canoe
Alderville First Nation (Aug 29, 2011)	Email to Reese Simpson, David Simpson with brief description of project and date of PIC, link to project description. Offer to meet with community.
Metis Nation of Ontario (Toronto) (Aug 29, 2011)	Sent email to Melanie Paradis with the SunE Westbrook Notice and link to project descriptions.
Hiawatha First Nation (Aug 29, 2011)	Email to Chief Sandra Moore and Consultation Persons (2) with brief description of project and date of PIC, link to project description. Offer to meet with community.
Misissaugas of Scugog First Nation (Aug 29, 2011)	Emailed Murray Miracle with brief description of project and date of PIC, link to project description. Offer to meet with community.
Chippewas of Georgina Island (Aug 29, 2011)	Emailed Janice Taylor, Suzanne Howes, Marlene Stiles with brief description of project and date of PIC, link to project description. Offer to meet with community.

Group & Consultation Date	Discussion Summary
Curve Lake First Nation (Aug 30, 2011)	Telephoned Brian Hamilton, Band Manager; Discussed Westbrook, Bruining & Newboro 1&4. Reviewed map of Bruining project area around Stormont. Brian confirmed projects were within area of interest to Curve Lake. Received names of Krista Coppaway and Melissa Dokis, Land Resource Consultation.
Algonquins of Pikwakanagan First Nation (Aug 30, 2011)	Telephoned Vicky Two-Axe, Executive Director. Discussed SunE Bruining and Newboro 1&4. Stated projects quite far away to be of interest. Said I would send link to project description.
Alderville First Nation (Aug 30, 2011)	Telephoned Chief Marsden. Discussed SunE Westbrook project. Chief said it's near their traditional territory. Signed 1818 treaty near Rideau Canal. I also mentioned packages for Taylor Kidd and Unity were sent. Chief asked that a FN monitor be present for traditional site monitoring.
Six Nations (Aug 31, 2011)	Telephoned Joanne Thomas, Consultation Point Person, to follow-up to Jennifer Dawson emails and calls regarding SunE Norfolk project. Inquired whether she had any questions, concerns or wished to meet face to face since Norfolk PIC now completed. Sent follow-up email about call which included her two key points: need for face to face consultation; and require paid, not just observing, Archeological Monitor from community on site.
Hiawatha First Nation (Aug 31, 2011)	Telephoned Chief Sandra Moore. Left message on her voicemail that packages for SunE Westbrook, Taylor Kidd and Unity were sent to Chief & Council. Left phone number for her to call with any questions.
Curve lake First Nation (Aug 31, 2011)	Telephoned Chief Chief Knott. Left message on his voicemail that packages for SunE Westbrook, Taylor Kidd and Unity were sent to Chief & Council. Left phone number for him to call with any questions.
Misissaugas of Scugog First Nation (Aug 31, 2011)	Telephoned Chief Tracy Gauthier. Left message on her voicemail that packages for SunE Westbrook, Taylor Kidd and Unity were sent to Chief & Council. Left phone number for her to call with any questions.
Kawartha Nishnawbe First Nation (Aug 31, 2011)	Telephoned Chief Kris Nahrgang. Left message on the general voicemail that packages for SunE Taylor Kidd and Unity were sent to Chief & Council. Left phone number for Chief to call with any questions. No one answered telephone after 5 different calls over 2 days.
Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte (Aug 31, 2011)	Telephoned Chief Donald Maracle. Left message on his voicemail that packages for SunE Taylor Kidd and Unity were sent to Chief & Council. Left phone number for him to call with any questions.

Group & Consultation Date	Discussion Summary
Mohawk Council of Kahnawake	Attempted to call. Could not get through.
Six Nations (Sept 1, 2011)	Follow-up email to Joanne Thomas that archeological studies were complete and report was in to the Ministry already.
Six Nations (Sept 1, 2011)	Telephone call Joanne Thomas. Discussed 1701 Treaty area and 1794 Treaty. On 1701 Treaty map, mainly follow 1670's pink line-gave hunting and fishing rights. Discussed degree of consultation - MOU? Agreed again SunE will meet. 3 copies of project description documents. SunE may be asked to pay for someone to review docs given lack of capacity (2 people only).
Curve Lake First Nation (Sept 2, 2011)	Two emails to Chief Knott and Brian Hamilton with attached project descriptions and Notices of 1. Westbrook and 2. Bruining, Newboro 1&4 projects, date of PICs. Offer to meet with community.
Turtle Island News (Sept 2, 2011)	Ordered placement of 3 PIC Notices in half-page format for publication Wednesday, Sept 7, 2011: Westbrook, Bruining, Newboro 1 & 4.

Group & Consultation Date	Discussion Summary
Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte (February 10, 2011)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Left a message with Chief Maracle inquiring about comments on Draft REA documents
Mohawks of the Akwesasne (February 10, 2011)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spoke with staff member in the Grand Chief's office. Documents had been received but no feedback yet. Would call back.
Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte (February 15, 2011)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conversation with staff member. The REA documents were being reviewed by a staff member, Kristen Maracle.
Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte (February 22, 2011)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Left message with Kristen Maracle asking to speak with her regarding any input she had on the Draft REA Documents. Also followed up with an e-mail.
Mohawks of the Akwesasne (February 22, 2011)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Left message with the Grand Chief's staff enquiring about the review of the documents.
Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte (March 14, 2011)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Left message with Kristen Maracle asking to speak with her regarding any input she had on the Draft REA Documents.
Mohawks of the Akwesasne (March 14, 2011)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Spoke with Carla Ransom in the Chief's office. The staff were waiting on electronic copies of the project maps to complete their review. Maps were sent electronically and hard copies were also sent to the DTS Director.

Group & Consultation Date	Discussion Summary
Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte (March 30, 2011)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A formal letter requesting input on the SunE Westbrook Solar Farm was sent to the Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte. The letter informed them of our intention to file an REA application and provided information on how input on the project could be made. The letter also re-affirmed our commitment to addressing any future questions or concerns. A copy of this letter is included in Appendix F.
Mohawks of the Akwesasne (March 14, 2011)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A formal letter requesting input on the SunE Westbrook Solar Farm was sent to the Mohawks of the Akwesasne. The letter informed them of our intention to file an REA application and provided information on how input on the project could be made. The letter also re-affirmed our commitment to addressing any future questions or concerns. A copy of this letter is included in Appendix F.

Group & Consultation Date	Discussion Summary
MARCH 2012	
Kawartha Nishnawbe First Nation (March 6, 2012)	Email letter outlining details of the REA process and request for input sent to Chief Nahrgang. Attached Notice of PIC 2.
Mohawks of Bay of Quinte (March 8, 2012)	Called Kristin Maracle to determine whether they had received project package and supplemental package. Out of office - left phone message to call PStirbys back whether package received.
Curve Lake First Nation (March 8, 2012)	Called Krista Koppoway to determine whether they had received project package and supplemental package. Out of office - left phone message.
Alderville First Nation (March 8, 2012)	Called Dave Simpson to determine whether they had received project package and supplemental package. Dave out of office - left phone message.
Hiawatha First Nation (March 8, 2012)	Called Lori Ritter to determine whether they had received project package and supplemental package. Lori out of office - left phone message.

Group & Consultation Date	Discussion Summary
Mississaugas of Scugog (March 8, 2012)	Called Murray Maracle to determine whether they had received project package and supplemental package. No answer - left phone message to call PStirbys back whether package received.
Chippewas of Georgina Island (March 8, 2012)	Called Marlene Stiles to determine whether they had received project package and supplemental package. No answer - left phone message to call PStirbys back whether package received.
Kawartha Nishnawbe First Nation (March 8, 2012)	Called Chief Nahrgang to determine whether he had received project package and supplemental package. No answer - left phone message to call PStirbys back whether package received.
Metis Nation Ontario (Ottawa, March 8, 2012)	Called MNO Ottawa office to determine whether they had received project package and supplemental package. No answer - left phone message for Lands & Resources to call PStirbys back whether package received.
Mississaugas of Scugog First Nation (March 30, 2012)	Email received from M. Maracle that the package for Westbrook had been received.
Metis Nation Ontario (Toronto, March 8, 2012)	Called James Wagar to determine whether they had received project package and supplemental package. No answer - left phone message to call PStirbys back whether package received.
Hiawatha FN (March 13, 2012)	Telephone message received from Lori Ritter that they received the package for Westbrook. No questions at this time. May have questions once she has a chance to review the documents.
Mississaugas of Scugog Island First Nation (March 15, 2012)	Received letter from Murray Maracle noting they have no specific concerns with the project at this time and requesting to be kept informed on the development of the project.
Mohawk Council of Akwesasne (March 20, 2012)	Received telephone message from Jim Ransom.

Group & Consultation Date	Discussion Summary
Kawartha Nishnawbe First Nation (March 22, 2012)	Email to Chief Nahrgang with attached supplemental letter and sign-off letter from MTCS for cultural heritage portion of project.
Alderville First Nation (March 29, 2012)	Email follow-up to March 8 telephone call to D. Simpson asking whether they received the project package for Westbrook. Included link to Westbrook project and date/location of PIC#2.
Mississaugas of Scugog First Nation (March 29, 2012)	Email follow-up to March 8 telephone call to M. Maracle asking whether they received the project package for Westbrook. Included link to Westbrook project and date/location of PIC#2.
Kawartha Nishnawbe First Nation (March 29, 2012)	Email follow-up to March 22 email to Chief Nahrgang asking whether the Chief had any questions regarding the project. Included link to project and date/location of PIC#2.
MNO (Toronto) (March 29, 2012)	Email follow-up to March 8 telephone call to James Wagar asking whether they received the project package for Westbrook. Included link to Westbrook project and date/location of PIC#2.
APRIL 2012	
Alderville First Nation (April 4, 2012)	Letter from D. Simpson noting that project is within their Traditional and Treaty Territory but is deemed to be level 3, having minimal potential to impact First Nation rights. Requested being apprised of any archaeological findings, burial sites or any environmental impacts, should any occur.
Turtle Island News (April 16, 2012)	The Notice for PIC#2 sent to Amy@turtleislandnews.com for publication on Wednesday, April 18, 2012.
Mohawks of Bay of Quinte (April 16, 2012)	Email follow-up to March 8 telephone call to K. Maracle asking whether they received the project package for Westbrook. Included link to Westbrook project and date/location of PIC#2.
Curve Lake First Nation (April 16, 2012)	Email follow-up to March 8 telephone call to K. Coppaway asking whether they received the project package for Westbrook. Included link to Westbrook project and date/location of PIC#2.

Group & Consultation Date	Discussion Summary
Chippewas of Georgina Island (April 16, 2012)	Email follow-up to March 8 telephone call to M. Stiles asking whether they received the project package for Westbrook. Included link to Westbrook project and date/location of PIC#2.
Turtle Island News (April 18, 2012)	PIC Notice published in Turtle Island News (Aboriginal newspaper)
Algonquins/Williams Treaty (April 17, 2012)	As recommended by Curve Lake, contact is being made with Karry Sandy-McKenzie, the Williams Treaty Coordinator. Karry was sent an email asking her to confirm receipt of Westbrook package from November 2011 or whether she had any questions. Karry was also asked to send a return email or telephone once she receives project information in package or via SunEdison website link. Follow-up telephone call made to Karry was telephoned as well to confirm spelling of her name, contact details and to telephone if she had any questions.
Six Nations (April 23, 2012)	Telephoned J Thomas, Consultation Point Person, to ask about dates to meet with them. Follow-up with email suggesting dates.
Six Nations (April 24, 2012)	After email exchanges, agreed to meeting on May 9 to update on projects and discuss their proposed Capacity Funding Agreement.
MAY 2012	
Mohawks of Akwesasne (May 1, 2012)	Telephone call received from Curtis Lazore to add Aboriginal Rights & Research Office to consultation list as info to Grand Chief takes too long to get to the Aboriginal Rights office. Request info session near Cornwall Island as Akwesasne has strong interest in Bruining (particularly environmental and archaeological information).
Mohawks of Bay of Quinte (May 1, 2012)	Telephoned K. Maracle to confirm Westbrook project materials received and reminding her about Thurs. May 3 PIC. Materials received; not attending PIC.

Group & Consultation Date	Discussion Summary
Curve Lake First Nation (May 1, 2012)	Telephoned K. Coppaway to confirm Westbrook project materials received and reminding her about Thurs. May 3 PIC. Not attending, however, said they will respond to SunE correspondence.
Alderville First Nation (May 1, 2012)	Telephoned D Simpson to confirm Westbrook project materials received and reminding him about Thurs. May 3 PIC. Not attending.
Hiawatha First Nation (Tues. May 1, 2012)	Left telephone message for L. Ritter or D. Sheriden to confirm Westbrook project materials received and a reminder about Thurs. May 3 PIC.
Mississaugas of Scugog (May 1, 2012)	Left telephone message for M. Maracle to confirm Westbrook project materials received and reminding him about Thurs. May 3 PIC.
Chippewas of Georgina Island (May 1, 2012)	Left telephone message to confirm Westbrook project materials received and reminding her about Thurs. May 3 PIC. Not sure if Marlene Stiles is still there as contact as her name was not on the directory list.
Algonquins/Williams Treaty (May 1, 2012)	Left telephone message with K. Sandi-McKenzie to confirm Westbrook project materials received and reminding her about Thurs. May 3 PIC.
Kawartha Nishnawbe First Nation (May 1, 2012)	Left telephone message with Chief Nargang to confirm Westbrook project materials received and reminding her about Thurs. May 3 PIC.
Metis of Ontario (Toronto) (May 1, 2012)	Telephoned J Wagar to confirm Westbrook project materials received and reminding her about Thurs. May 3 PIC. Yes, sent to Sudbury contact to track. No concerns received from Metis Council in region of Westbrook project.
Mississaugas of Scugog (May 8, 2012)	Email received from M. Maracle that Westbrook project materials received. No huge issues or concerns identified, thereby did not attend May 3 PIC. Appreciates updates.

4. Municipal Consultation

SunEdison Canada is actively engaging in consultation with the local municipality (City of Kingston). The city of Kingston is a separated city and thus for the Westbrook project there is only one municipality and

not an upper and lower tier. As the project will be entirely located in the City of Kingston, the majority of discussions have been with the Countryside Councillor Jeff Scott, City Clerk and the City's Planning Department. A "Renewable Energy Approval Consultation Form: municipalities, local authorities" (MOE) form was distributed (details provided in Section 4.2 below) to the clerk of the City of Kingston more than 90 days prior to the final public meeting. In addition, Countryside Councillor Jeff Scott attended Public Meeting #2 and indicated that he was satisfied with the visual renderings and proposed landscape plans.

4.1 Consultation Form

A "Renewable Energy Approval Consultation Form: municipalities, local authorities" (MOE) form was distributed to the Clerk of the City of Kingston as noted in the table below. Included with the consultation form was a copy of the Draft Renewable Energy Approval Documents for Consultation.

Table 5: Municipal Consultation Form Distribution

Recipient of Consultation Form	Date of receipt of Form	Included Documents	Date of Receipt of Documents	# Days Prior to Public Meeting #2
John Bolognone, City Clerk, City of Kingston	August 16, 2011	Draft PDR, August 2011	August 16, 2011	>90
John Bolognone, City Clerk, City of Kingston	February 2, 2012	Draft REA Documents, February 2011	February 2, 2012	92
Sonya Bolton Planning Department, City of Kingston	March 7, 2012	Draft REA Documents, municipal consultation form were requested for their review and were provided March 7, 2012	March 7, 2012	n/a

To date, a completed municipal consultation form has not been received from the City of Kingston. Following discussions with the Planning Department additional copies of the municipal consultation form and the draft REA documents were forwarded to Sonya Bolton (Senior Policy Planner) on March 7, 2012. Sonya Bolton noted in an email of March 13, 2012 that the City has a technical circulation process whereby the natural heritage reports are forwarded to Cataraqui Conservation Authority for review and comment. SunEdison paid the requested fee and the Westbrook project is currently in the queue for review but no timeline for completion were provided. Follow up email and discussions with Sonya Bolton have been undertaken but no specific timing has been provided for when the Westbrook project municipal consultation form will be submitted. Copies of the municipal consultation form forwarded to the City are provided in Appendix F. A summary of issues raised and how they were addressed are summarized below:

Table 6: Municipal Feedback

Comments Received	Response
City of Kingston Municipal Consultation Form	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> None received to date 	<i>Awaiting completion of the technical review by the City and Cataraqui Conservation Authority</i>

4.2 Consultation Summary

Table 7 Summary of Municipal Consultations

Municipality & Consultation Date	Discussion Summary
<i>City of Kingston</i>	
August 16, 2011	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Forwarded Draft Project Description Report and copy of municipal consultation form
Fall 2011 and early 2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Discussions with local Councilor and Planning Department regarding project and potential visual impact concerns
February 2, 2012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Forwarded Draft REA Documents and revised municipal consultation form Follow up discussions with the Councilor and Planning Department
March 2, 2012 March 21, 21012	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Forwarded additional updated documentation to the City Clerk and Planning Department related to the technical support documents and sign off by MTCS on built cultural heritage

5. Public Consultation

5.1 Summary of Comments

The summary presents all types of comments received but does not contain each instance where there were multiple comments. Refer to the comment sheets in Appendix F to see the original comments. Responses and References are included to demonstrate whether and how the comments were considered and/or addressed in the Final REA submission.

5.1.1 Public Meeting #1, September 19, 2011

Approximately 4 people attended the first public meeting, signed in on the sign-in sheet. The attendees lived in the area and were mixed on the project. Generally, comments were positive and some negative with people enquiring about how solar PV works and whether people would notice the site after construction. Some questions were raised regarding visual impact, project noise and property values.

Table 8: Summary of Written Comments Received From the Initial Public Meeting

Written Comments Received (From Public Meeting #1 September 19, 2011)	Response	Reference
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Please maintain a green space as a visual barrier to disguise fencing along property lines. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Landscape plans will be developed and SunEdison will continue to work with directly affected neighbours on minimizing the visual impact</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Landscape plan will look at trees along fence line that directly affects nearby residences (not an REA requirement but will be discussed at Public Meeting #2)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Thank you for the information, coffee, and cookies. ▪ Too bad you are restricted in the size of your projects 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>None required</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Concerns: Natural Wetlands, tree removal ▪ Would like trees planted to avoid the noise and view of fence ▪ Wildlife: endangered/fragile species—frog ponds, Eastern Newt, Hawks/Vultures, Blue Spotted Salamanders, Painted Turtles, Grey Tree Frogs ▪ Concerned about house value going down ▪ Concerned that the noise levels will irritate, as we are noise sensitive 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ <i>Natural Heritage Assessment Studies and Waterbody Reports were undertaken and developed for this project. MNR will be required to provide sign off on the project in order to obtain REA approval</i> ▪ <i>Noise levels will be below the MOE standards and are generally limited to the substation and its proximity to receptors</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ MNR sign off and Natural Heritage Assessment Reports and Waterbody Reports, Appendix C of the REA submission ▪ Noise Study Report, Appendix E of the REA submission

5.1.2 Public Meeting #2, May 2, 2012

Approximately 14 people attended the second public meeting, with 14 signing the sign-in sheet. There was also a local councilor that attended. Generally, comments were negative but the main concern is the cumulative impact of several larger (e.g., Samsung) solar projects in the area, visual impact of the fence line from the road, potential impact on groundwater. In general, the concerns were not specific to the Westbrook project but to the number of solar projects proposed by developers in the area.

Table 9: Summary of Written Comments Received From Public Meeting #2

Written Comments Received (From Public Meeting #2 May 3, 2012)	Response	Reference
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Consistent fence line along east-northeast portion of site and provide vegetation ▪ Looking to build retirement home in future 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>SunEdison provided visual display of landscape plans for the fence line that potentially affects neighbouring residences</i> • <i>Will look at straightening out fence line and providing vegetative cover along portion of the fence line</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Landscape plans are not part of the REA submission but SunEdison is committed to working with adjacent neighbours and will develop the fence line and vegetation similar to that presented on the boards at Public Meeting #2
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Lack of oversight and the community should be listened to and not argued with ▪ Groundwater – massive fire in the area years ago had no impact but another would no take out the solar farm and will this affect the aquifer 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Solar panels are not flammable and would withstand fire</i> • <i>Groundwater monitoring program was provided to address groundwater now, during construction and following construction of the project</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Included in Appendix G is a groundwater monitoring program report and Appendix B has manufacturer's specifications on the solar components
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ So far I'm impressed and pleased with the project ▪ I look forward to it taking place 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>No response required</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •

6. Consideration of Public, Municipal and Aboriginal Input

6.1 Alterations to the Proposal to Engage in the Project

After consultations with the public, landowners and the municipalities, there were no significant concerns with the project proposal which could not be resolved. Some minor details will be modified and these are detailed in Table 10.

Table 10: Alterations to the Proposal to Engage in the Project

Comment / Concern	Alteration to the Project
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consistent fence line along east-northeast portion of site and provide vegetation 	<p><i>Landscape plans are not part of the REA submission but SunEdison is committed to working with adjacent neighbours and will develop the fenceline and vegetation similar to that presented on the boards at Public Meeting #2</i></p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planting of vegetation to block visual impact of fence along property lines 	<p><i>Landscape plan has trees along fence line that directly affects nearby residences, SunEdison will continue to work with directly affected neighbours regarding vegetative cover and landscape plans</i></p>

6.2 Alterations to the Required REA Reports

After consultations with the public, landowners and the municipalities, several changes were also made to the draft documents released for public review. The public input and the resulting changes are detailed in Table 11.

Table 11: Alterations to the Required REA Reports

Comment / Concern	Alteration to the Reports
I'm just nervous about pesticide/herbicide use for weed/shrub control	Section 2.1.2 of the Design & Operations was modified to state that "No pesticides or herbicides will be used during maintenance activities"
Please plant native material in the area around the arrays when you plan on planting low growing material. Low native grasses could be used	Section 2.10 of the Construction Plan Report and Section 1.3.2 of the Decommissioning Plan Report were altered to include the following statement: "If possible, native plant species will be used for the re-vegetation of disturbed areas"